arXiv:1301.2412v1 [math.LO] 11 Jan 2013

REMARK ON SVENONIUS THEOREM

A.L. SEMENOV, S.F. SOPRUNOV

Abstract. Svenonius theorem describes the first-order definability in a structure in the terms of groups of permutations of elementary extensions of the structure. In the present paper we reformulate Svenonius theorem in the combinatorial terms.

Consider a structure $M = < A, \Sigma \cup \{R\} >$ with universe A and signature $\Sigma \cup \{R\}$. Relation R is *definable* in $< A, \Sigma >$ (or simply definable by signature Σ), if $M \models (\forall x_1, \ldots, x_n)(R(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \equiv S(x_1, \ldots, x_n))$ for some first-ordered formula S in the signature Σ.

If φ is permutation on set B (bijectively mapping of B on B), and P − some n-ary relation on B, then we say that φ *preserves* P, if $P(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \equiv P(\varphi(a_1), \ldots, \varphi(a_n))$ for all $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in B$. A collection of permutations preserves a relation if all members of the collection preserves the relation. Svenonius theorem [1] for countable structures may be formulated as

Svenonius theorem. *Let $M = < A, \Sigma \cup \{R\} >$ be a countable structure with signature $\Sigma \cup \{R\}$. Relation R is definable in $<A, \Sigma>$ iff for any countable elementary extension $<A', \Sigma \cup \{R\}>$ of M the group of permutations on A', preserving all relations from Σ, preserves R.*

This theorem describes the first-order definability in the logical term of the elementary equivalence. In the current paper we try to reformulate the Svenonius theorem in the simple combinatorial terms.

For sure according to well-known result of Keisler and Shelah two structures are elementary equivalent if and only if they have isomorphic ultrapowers (e.g. [2]). So the Svenonius theorem allows to describe the first-order definability in the combinatorial terms of ultrapowers and permutations. But we will try to describe a more direct and simple construction.

Let A be a countable universe, N − the set of natural numbers. By $\mathcal{F}$ we denote the set of everywhere defined functions $f\colon N \to A$. If P is n-ary relation on A and φ is a mapping $\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$ then we say that φ *almost preserves* P if $\{i | P(f_1(i), \ldots, f_n(i)) \not\equiv P(\varphi(f_1)(i), \ldots, \varphi(f_n)(i))\}$ is finite for any $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ in $Dom(\varphi)$.

As usual we suppose that a signature Σ contains the equality $=$. By Q^σ where Q is a formula in $\Sigma \cup \{R\}$ and $\sigma = \pm 1$ we denote the formula Q if $\sigma = 1$ and $\neg Q$ if $\sigma = -1$.

We are going to prove the next statement:

Theorem. *(CH) Let $M =<A, \Sigma \cup \{R\}>$ be a countable structure with signature $\Sigma \cup \{R\}$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) Relation R is definable in $<A, \Sigma>$

(2) any permutation φ on $\mathcal{F}$ which almost preserves all relations from Σ almost preserves relation R.

The following lemma is an analog of ω_1 saturation of an ultrapower (e.g. [2]).

Lemma 1. *If countable mapping $\varphi\colon \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$ almost preserves any relation, definable in the $M' = <A, \Sigma>$, then for any $a \notin Dom(\varphi)$ exists such $b \in \mathcal{F}$ that $\varphi \cup < a, b >$ almost preserves any relation, definable in the $<A, \Sigma>$.*

Proof. We enumerate $P_1(x_1, \ldots, x_{k_1}, y), \ldots, P_m(x_1, \ldots, x_{k_m}, y), \ldots$ all definable in $<A, \Sigma>$ relations. Let $\{f_1, \ldots, f_n, \ldots\} = Dom(\varphi)$. For any $k \in N$ we define m_k as $\max\{m \leqslant k | M' \vDash (\exists y)(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m} P_i^{\sigma_i}(\varphi(f(\vec{k})), y))\}$ where $\sigma_i = 1$ if $M' \vDash P_i(f(\vec{k}), a(k))$ and $\sigma_i = -1$ if $M' \vDash \neg P_i(f(\vec{k}), a(k))$. Define a function $b\colon N \to A$ such that $M' \vDash \bigwedge_{i=1}^{m_k} P_i^{\sigma_i}(\varphi(f(\vec{k})), b(k))$ if $m_k > 0$, $b(k)$ is an arbitrary element of A if $m_k = 0$.

We need to show that $\{i | P_j(f_1(i), \ldots, f_{k_j}(i), a(i)) \not\equiv P_j(\varphi(f_1)(i), \ldots, \varphi(f_{k_j})(i), b(i))\}$ is finite for any j. Consider all formulas $Q_{\vec{\sigma}}(\vec{x}, y) = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{j} P_i^{\sigma_i}(\vec{x}, y)$ for all collections $\vec{\sigma} = \sigma_1, \ldots \sigma_j$. The mapping φ almost preserves all relations $(\exists y)Q_{\vec{\sigma}}(\vec{x}, y)$ so there is such n_0 that $(\exists y)Q_{\vec{\sigma}}(\vec{f}(i), y) \equiv (\exists y)Q_{\vec{\sigma}}(\varphi(\vec{f})(i), y)$ for any vector σ and $i > n_0$. If $l > \max\{j, n_0\}$ then by definition of m_l holds $m_l > j$ so $P_j(f_1(l), \ldots, f_{k_j}(l), a(l)) \equiv P_j(\varphi(f_1)(l), \ldots, \varphi(f_{k_j})(l), b(l))$. □

Proof of the theorem.

Proof. (1) ⇒ (2). Let φ be a permutation on $\mathcal{F}$, φ almost preserves all relations from Σ, and for some $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ in $\mathcal{F}$ the set $S = \{i | R(f_1(i), \ldots, f_n(i)) \not\equiv R(\varphi(f_1)(i), \ldots, \varphi(f_n)(i))\}$ is infinite. Consider an ultrapower $\prod_U M$ where U is a nonprincipal ultrafilter on N, $S \in U$. Since φ almost preserves the equality, the mapping $\varphi\colon \prod_U M \to \prod_U M$ is the automorphism preserving Σ but not preserving relation R.

(2) ⇒ (1). The proof follows the proof of the original Svenonius theorem. If $f, g \in \mathcal{F}$ then $f \approx g$ denotes that $\{i | f(i) \neq g(i)\}$ is finite, by $[f]$ we denote the corresponding equivalence class of f. For any ordinal $\alpha < \aleph_1$ we will construct such a partial mapping $\varphi_\alpha\colon \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$ that (i) $Dom(\varphi_\alpha)$ is countable (ii) $\varphi_\alpha \subset \varphi_\beta$ if $\alpha < \beta$ (iii) if $f \in Dom(\varphi_\alpha)$ then $[f] \subset Dom(\varphi_\alpha)$ and $\varphi_\alpha([f]) = [\varphi_\alpha(f)]$ (iv) φ_α almost preserves any relation definable in $<A, \Sigma>$. We set $\varphi = \bigcup_{\alpha < \aleph_1} \varphi_\alpha$.

$\boldsymbol{\alpha = 0}$. We enumerate $P_1(\vec{x}), \ldots, P_m(\vec{x}), \ldots$ all n-ary definable in $<N, \Sigma>$ relations and note that $M \vDash (\exists \vec{a})(\exists \vec{b})((R(\vec{a}) \not\equiv R(\vec{b})) \wedge \bigwedge_{i=1}^{m}(P_i(\vec{a}) \equiv P_i(\vec{b})))$ for all $m \in N$. Otherwise $M \vDash (\forall \vec{a})(\forall \vec{b})(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m}(P_i(\vec{a}) \equiv P_i(\vec{b})) \to (R(\vec{a}) \equiv R(\vec{b})))$ for some $m \in N$ so $R(\vec{x})$ is equivalent to a disjunction of finite number of $\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m} P_i^{\sigma_i}(\vec{x})$ formulas.

Let $\vec{a}(m)$ and $\vec{b}(m)$ be some vectors such that $M \vDash ((R(\vec{a}(m)) \not\equiv R(\vec{b}(m))) \wedge \bigwedge_{i=1}^{m}(P_i(\vec{a}(m)) \equiv P_i(\vec{b}(m))))$. Define functions $a_1, \ldots, a_n, b_1, \ldots, b_n \in \mathcal{F}$ as $a_j(i) = (\vec{a}(i))_j, b_j(i) = (\vec{b}(i))_j$. We define $\varphi_0(a_i) = b_i$ and expand φ_0 so that $\varphi_0([a_i]) = [b_i]$.

$\boldsymbol{0 < \alpha < \aleph_1}$. Denote by $\varphi' = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \varphi_\beta$ and by g the item of $\mathcal{F}$ with the index α. We define $\varphi_\alpha \supset \varphi'$. We use lemma 1 to define $\varphi_\alpha(g)$ if $g \notin Dom(\varphi')$ and to define $\varphi_\alpha^{-1}(g)$ if $g \notin Rang(\varphi')$. We extend φ_α so that $\varphi_\alpha([g]) = [\varphi_\alpha(g)]$ and $\varphi_\alpha^{-1}([g]) = [\varphi_\alpha^{-1}(g)]$. □

Remark. With a structure $M = <A, \Sigma>$ we can associate the Boolean valued structure $M_B = < B, < \mathcal{F}, \Sigma >, \Psi >$ such that

(i) B is Boolean algebra $2^N / \approx$, where the equivalence $\approx$ is defined as $S_1 \approx S_2 \Leftrightarrow ((S_1 \setminus S_2) \cup (S_2 \setminus S_1)$ is finite)

(ii) Ψ is the operation which assigns to each formula $Q(f_1, \dots, f_n)$ of Σ with free variables among $x_1, \dots, x_n$ a function $A^n \to B$, whose value at $f_1, \dots, f_n$ is denoted $\Psi(Q(f_1, \dots, f_n))$. For a relation $P \in \Sigma$ we set $\Psi(Q(f_1, \dots, f_n)) = \{i | Q(f_1(i), \dots, f_n(i))\}$ and build up the interpretation of all formulas in the usual way.

From this point of view a permutation of $\mathcal{F}$ almost preserving relations from Σ is an automorphism of the structure M_B and our statement is similar to the result of [3].

References

[1] L. Svenonius. *A theorem on permutations in models*, Theoria (Lund) **25** (1959)

[2] C. C. Chang, H. J. Keisler. *Model Theory.* North Holland, Amsterdam, 1973.

[3] C. Butz, I. Moerdijk. *An Elementary Definability Theorem for First Order Logic*, The Journal of Symbolic Logic Vol. 64, No. 3 (Sep., 1999), pp. 1028-1036